

# Appointed Actuary's Report

---

## THE SHAREHOLDERS AND DIRECTORS OF SUN LIFE FINANCIAL INC.

I have valued the policy liabilities of Sun Life Financial Inc. and its subsidiaries for its Consolidated Financial Statements prepared in accordance with International Financial Reporting Standards for the years ended December 31, 2025 and December 31, 2024.

In my opinion, the amount of policy liabilities is appropriate for this purpose. The valuation conforms to accepted actuarial practice in Canada and the Consolidated Financial Statements fairly present the results of the valuation.



Brennan Kennedy  
Fellow, Canadian Institute of Actuaries and Society of Actuaries

Toronto, Ontario, Canada  
February 11, 2026

# Independent Auditor's Report

To the Shareholders and the Board of Directors of Sun Life Financial Inc.

## Opinion

We have audited the consolidated financial statements of Sun Life Financial Inc. (the "Company"), which comprise the consolidated statements of financial position as at December 31, 2025 and 2024, and the consolidated statements of operations, comprehensive income (loss), changes in equity and cash flows for the years then ended, and notes to the consolidated financial statements, including material accounting policy information (collectively referred to as the "financial statements").

In our opinion, the accompanying financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the Company as at December 31, 2025 and 2024, and its financial performance and its cash flows for the years then ended in accordance with International Financial Reporting Standards ("IFRS") as issued by the International Accounting Standards Board.

## Basis for Opinion

We conducted our audit in accordance with Canadian generally accepted auditing standards ("Canadian GAAS"). Our responsibilities under those standards are further described in the *Auditor's Responsibilities* for the *Audit of the Financial Statements* section of our report. We are independent of the Company in accordance with the ethical requirements that are relevant to our audit of the financial statements in Canada, and we have fulfilled our other ethical responsibilities in accordance with these requirements. We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our opinion.

## Key Audit Matters

Key audit matters are those matters that, in our professional judgment, were of most significance in our audit of the consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2025. These matters were addressed in the context of our audit of the consolidated financial statements as a whole, and in forming our opinion thereon, and we do not provide a separate opinion on these matters.

### Insurance Contract Liabilities — Refer to Notes 1 and 10 to the Financial Statements

#### Key Audit Matter Description

The Company's insurance contract liabilities represent a significant portion of its total liabilities. Insurance contract liabilities are determined in accordance with IFRS 17, Insurance Contracts ("IFRS 17"). This requires the use of complex valuation models and assumptions to measure groups of insurance contracts as the total of fulfillment cash flows, plus a risk adjustment for non-financial risk and a contractual service margin ("CSM"). The CSM component is only relevant for groups of insurance contracts measured using the general measurement approach and the variable fee approach.

While there is considerable judgment applied by management and inherent uncertainty in selecting assumptions, the assumptions with the greatest estimation uncertainty are those related to mortality, policyholder behaviour and discount rates. These assumptions required significant auditor attention in specific circumstances where (i) there is limited Company and industry experience data, (ii) the historical experience may not be a good indicator of the future, and (iii) the determination of discount rates requires complex calculation and measurement of unobservable market inputs. Auditing certain valuation models and significant assumptions (mortality, policyholder behaviour and discount rate) required a high degree of auditor judgment and an increased extent of audit effort, including the need to involve actuarial and fair value specialists.

#### How the Key Audit Matter Was Addressed in the Audit

Our audit procedures related to certain valuation models and significant assumptions included the following, among others:

- Evaluated the effectiveness of the internal controls over actuarial models and the determination of the mortality, policyholder behaviour and discount rate assumptions used in the calculation of insurance contract liabilities, as well as access and change management controls over those actuarial models.
- With the assistance of actuarial specialists, tested the appropriateness of certain valuation models used in the valuation process by:
  - Calculating an independent estimate of the insurance contract liability for a sample of insurance policies and comparing the results to the Company's estimate; and
  - Testing the accuracy of certain valuation models for changes in key assumptions.
- With the assistance of actuarial specialists, tested the reasonableness of mortality and policyholder behaviour assumptions by:
  - Evaluating whether management's assumptions were determined in accordance with the requirements of IFRS 17;
  - Testing experience studies and other inputs used in the determination of the assumptions; and
  - Analyzing management's interpretation and judgment with respect to its experience study results and emerging claims experience, evaluating new and revised key assumptions, assessing reasonable possible alternative assumptions, and considering industry and other external sources of benchmarking where applicable.
- With the assistance of actuarial and fair value specialists, evaluated the reasonableness of the discount rates used by:
  - Evaluating whether management's assumptions and methodologies were determined in accordance with the requirements of IFRS 17; and
  - Testing the inputs and source information underlying the determination of the discount rates and for a sample of curves, calculated the discount rates and compared against discount rates derived by management.

### Goodwill Impairment Testing – U.S. Dental Cash Generating Unit — Refer to Notes 1 and 9.A to the Financial Statements

#### Key Audit Matter Description

The Company's evaluation of goodwill for impairment involves the comparison of the recoverable amount of the U.S. Dental cash generating unit ("identified CGU") to its carrying value. The recoverable amount for the identified CGU is the greater of the fair value less costs of disposal and the value in use and it is estimated using a discounted cash flow approach. As the recoverable amount of the identified CGU exceeded its carrying value, no impairment was recognized.

While there are several estimates and assumptions that are required to determine the recoverable amount of the identified CGU, the estimates and assumptions with the highest degree of subjectivity are the forecasted cashflows, the timing and outcome of contract negotiations and repricing of Medicaid contracts, and the discount rate. Performing audit procedures around these estimates and assumptions required a high degree of judgment and an increased extent of audit effort, including the need to involve fair value and actuarial specialists.

#### **How the Key Audit Matter Was Addressed in the Audit**

Our audit procedures related to the forecasted cashflows, the timing and outcome of contract negotiations and repricing of Medicaid contracts, and the discount rate used to determine the recoverable amount of the identified CGU included the following, among others:

- Evaluated the effectiveness of the internal controls over the key assumptions used by management to determine the recoverable amount for the identified CGU.
- Evaluated the forecasted cashflows by:
  - Assessing the underlying analyses detailing business strategies and growth plans considering the uncertainties associated with structural changes in the U.S. health care system; and
  - Evaluating the expected claims frequency and cost with the assistance of actuarial specialists.
- Evaluated the timing and outcome of contract negotiations and repricing of Medicaid contracts assumption by considering historical outcomes in contract negotiations and status of contracts under negotiation.
- With the assistance of fair value specialists, evaluated the:
  - Reasonableness of the discount rate by testing the source information underlying the determination of the discount rate, and developing a range of independent estimates and comparing those to the discount rate selected by management; and
  - Information obtained through other audit procedures to consider whether it could be potentially contradictory to management's assessment which included considering market multiples of public company peers.

### **Valuation of Investment Properties — Refer to Notes 1 and 5 to the Financial Statements**

#### **Key Audit Matter Description**

Investment properties are accounted for at fair value. The fair values of investment properties are generally determined using property valuation models and are based on expected capitalization rates and models that discount expected future net cash flows at current market expected rates of return reflective of the characteristics, location, and market of each property. Expected future net cash flows include contractual and projected cash flows and forecasted operating expenses, and take into account discount, rental, and occupancy rates derived from market surveys. The estimates of future cash inflows in addition to expected rental income from current leases, include projected income from future leases based on significant assumptions that are consistent with current market conditions.

The assumptions with the greatest uncertainty are the discount rates, terminal capitalization rates, and future rental rates. Performing audit procedures to assess inputs required an elevated degree of auditor judgment and an increased extent of audit effort, including the need for the integral involvement of valuation specialists.

#### **How the Key Audit Matter Was Addressed in the Audit**

Our audit procedures related to valuation models and assumptions including discount rates, terminal capitalization rates, and future rental rates included the following, among others:

- Evaluated the effectiveness of the internal controls over the fair value process for investment properties. These controls include an assessment and approval by senior management of the discount rates, terminal capitalization rates, and future rental rates assumptions used in the determination of the valuation of investment properties and the valuation conclusions relative to comparable properties.
- With the assistance of valuation specialists, evaluated on a sample basis the reasonableness of management's discount rates, terminal capitalization rates, and future rental rates assumptions and valuation conclusions by comparing them to the discount rates, terminal capitalization rates, and future rental rates of market surveys and transactions in comparable properties.

### **Other Information**

Management is responsible for the other information. The other information comprises:

- Management's Discussion and Analysis
- The information, other than the financial statements and our auditor's report thereon, in the Annual Report.

Our opinion on the financial statements does not cover the other information and we do not and will not express any form of assurance conclusion thereon. In connection with our audit of the financial statements, our responsibility is to read the other information identified above and, in doing so, consider whether the other information is materially inconsistent with the financial statements or our knowledge obtained in the audit, or otherwise appears to be materially misstated.

We obtained Management's Discussion and Analysis prior to the date of this auditor's report. If, based on the work we have performed on this other information, we conclude that there is a material misstatement of this other information, we are required to report that fact in this auditor's report. We have nothing to report in this regard.

The Annual Report is expected to be made available to us after the date of the auditor's report. If, based on the work we will perform on this other information, we conclude that there is a material misstatement of this other information, we are required to report that fact to those charged with governance.

### **Responsibilities of Management and Those Charged with Governance for the Financial Statements**

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in accordance with IFRS, and for such internal control as management determines is necessary to enable the preparation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

In preparing the financial statements, management is responsible for assessing the Company's ability to continue as a going concern, disclosing, as applicable, matters related to going concern and using the going concern basis of accounting unless management either intends to liquidate the Company or to cease operations, or has no realistic alternative but to do so.

Those charged with governance are responsible for overseeing the Company's financial reporting process.

## Auditor's Responsibilities for the Audit of the Financial Statements

Our objectives are to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements as a whole are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error, and to issue an auditor's report that includes our opinion. Reasonable assurance is a high level of assurance, but is not a guarantee that an audit conducted in accordance with Canadian GAAS will always detect a material misstatement when it exists. Misstatements can arise from fraud or error and are considered material if, individually or in the aggregate, they could reasonably be expected to influence the economic decisions of users taken on the basis of these financial statements.

As part of an audit in accordance with Canadian GAAS, we exercise professional judgment and maintain professional skepticism throughout the audit. We also:

- Identify and assess the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error, design and perform audit procedures responsive to those risks, and obtain audit evidence that is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our opinion. The risk of not detecting a material misstatement resulting from fraud is higher than for one resulting from error, as fraud may involve collusion, forgery, intentional omissions, misrepresentations, or the override of internal control.
- Obtain an understanding of internal control relevant to the audit in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control.
- Evaluate the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of accounting estimates and related disclosures made by management.
- Conclude on the appropriateness of management's use of the going concern basis of accounting and, based on the audit evidence obtained, whether a material uncertainty exists related to events or conditions that may cast significant doubt on the Company's ability to continue as a going concern. If we conclude that a material uncertainty exists, we are required to draw attention in our auditor's report to the related disclosures in the financial statements or, if such disclosures are inadequate, to modify our opinion. Our conclusions are based on the audit evidence obtained up to the date of our auditor's report. However, future events or conditions may cause the Company to cease to continue as a going concern.
- Evaluate the overall presentation, structure and content of the financial statements, including the disclosures, and whether the financial statements represent the underlying transactions and events in a manner that achieves fair presentation.
- Plan and perform the group audit to obtain sufficient appropriate audit evidence regarding the financial information of the entities or business units within the Company as a basis for forming an opinion on the financial statements. We are responsible for the direction, supervision and review of the audit work performed for purposes of the group audit. We remain solely responsible for our audit opinion.

We communicate with those charged with governance regarding, among other matters, the planned scope and timing of the audit and significant audit findings, including any significant deficiencies in internal control that we identify during our audit.

We also provide those charged with governance with a statement that we have complied with relevant ethical requirements regarding independence, and to communicate with them all relationships and other matters that may reasonably be thought to bear on our independence, and where applicable, related safeguards.

From the matters communicated with those charged with governance, we determine those matters that were of most significance in the audit of the consolidated financial statements of the current period and are therefore the key audit matters. We describe these matters in our auditor's report unless law or regulation precludes public disclosure about the matter or when, in extremely rare circumstances, we determine that a matter should not be communicated in our report because the adverse consequences of doing so would reasonably be expected to outweigh the public interest benefits of such communication.

The engagement partner on the audit resulting in this independent auditor's report is Chantal Leclerc.

/s/ Deloitte LLP

Chartered Professional Accountants  
Licensed Public Accountants  
Toronto, Ontario  
February 11, 2026

# Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

To the Shareholders and the Board of Directors of Sun Life Financial Inc.

## Opinion on the Financial Statements

We have audited the accompanying consolidated statements of financial position of Sun Life Financial Inc. and subsidiaries (the “Company”) as of December 31, 2025 and 2024, the related consolidated statements of operations, comprehensive income (loss), changes in equity, and cash flows, for each of the two years in the period ended December 31, 2025, and the related notes (collectively referred to as the “financial statements”). In our opinion, the financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the Company as of December 31, 2025 and 2024, and its financial performance and its cash flows for each of the two years in the period ended December 31, 2025, in accordance with International Financial Reporting Standards (“IFRS”) as issued by the International Accounting Standards Board.

We have also audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States) (PCAOB), the Company’s internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2025, based on criteria established in *Internal Control - Integrated Framework (2013)* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission and our report dated February 11, 2026, expressed an unqualified opinion on the Company’s internal control over financial reporting.

## Basis for Opinion

These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company’s management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Company’s financial statements based on our audits. We are a public accounting firm registered with the PCAOB and are required to be independent with respect to the Company in accordance with the U.S. federal securities laws and the applicable rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission and the PCAOB.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the PCAOB. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement, whether due to error or fraud. Our audits included performing procedures to assess the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to error or fraud, and performing procedures that respond to those risks. Such procedures included examining, on a test basis, evidence regarding the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. Our audits also included evaluating the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

## Critical Audit Matters

The critical audit matters communicated below are matters arising from the current-period audit of the financial statements that were communicated or required to be communicated to the audit committee and that (1) relate to accounts or disclosures that are material to the financial statements and (2) involved our especially challenging, subjective, or complex judgments. The communication of critical audit matters does not alter in any way our opinion on the financial statements, taken as a whole, and we are not, by communicating the critical audit matters below, providing separate opinions on the critical audit matters or on the accounts or disclosures to which they relate.

### Insurance Contract Liabilities – Refer to Notes 1 and 10 to the Financial Statements

#### Critical Audit Matter Description

The Company’s insurance contract liabilities represent a significant portion of its total liabilities. Insurance contract liabilities are determined in accordance with IFRS 17, Insurance Contracts (“IFRS 17”). This requires the use of complex valuation models and assumptions to measure groups of insurance contracts as the total of fulfillment cash flows, plus a risk adjustment for non-financial risk and a contractual service margin (“CSM”). The CSM component is only relevant for groups of insurance contracts measured using the general measurement approach and the variable fee approach.

While there is considerable judgment applied by management and inherent uncertainty in selecting assumptions, the assumptions with the greatest estimation uncertainty are those related to mortality, policyholder behaviour and discount rates. These assumptions required significant auditor attention in specific circumstances where (i) there is limited Company and industry experience data, (ii) the historical experience may not be a good indicator of the future, and (iii) the determination of discount rates requires complex calculation and measurement of unobservable market inputs. Auditing certain valuation models and significant assumptions (mortality, policyholder behaviour and discount rate) required a high degree of auditor judgment and an increased extent of audit effort, including the need to involve actuarial and fair value specialists.

#### How the Critical Audit Matter Was Addressed in the Audit

Our audit procedures related to certain valuation models and significant assumptions included the following, among others:

- Evaluated the effectiveness of the internal controls over actuarial models and the determination of the mortality, policyholder behaviour and discount rate assumptions used in the calculation of insurance contract liabilities, as well as access and change management controls over those actuarial models.
- With the assistance of actuarial specialists, tested the appropriateness of certain valuation models used in the valuation process by:
  - Calculating an independent estimate of the insurance contract liability for a sample of insurance policies and comparing the results to the Company’s estimate; and
  - Testing the accuracy of certain valuation models for changes in key assumptions.
- With the assistance of actuarial specialists, tested the reasonableness of mortality and policyholder behaviour assumptions by:
  - Evaluating whether management’s assumptions were determined in accordance with the requirements of IFRS 17;
  - Testing experience studies and other inputs used in the determination of the assumptions; and
  - Analyzing management’s interpretation and judgment with respect to its experience study results and emerging claims experience, evaluating new and revised key assumptions, assessing reasonable possible alternative assumptions, and considering industry and other external sources of benchmarking where applicable.

- With the assistance of actuarial and fair value specialists, evaluated the reasonableness of the discount rates used by:
  - Evaluating whether management’s assumptions and methodologies were determined in accordance with the requirements of IFRS 17; and
  - Testing the inputs and source information underlying the determination of the discount rates and for a sample of curves, calculated the discount rates and compared against discount rates derived by management.

## **Goodwill Impairment Testing – U.S. Dental Cash Generating Unit – Refer to Notes 1 and 9.A to the Financial Statements**

### **Critical Audit Matter Description**

The Company’s evaluation of goodwill for impairment involves the comparison of the recoverable amount of the U.S. Dental cash generating unit (“identified CGU”) to its carrying value. The recoverable amount for the identified CGU is the greater of the fair value less costs of disposal and the value in use and it is estimated using a discounted cash flow approach. As the recoverable amount of the identified CGU exceeded its carrying value, no impairment was recognized.

While there are several estimates and assumptions that are required to determine the recoverable amount of the identified CGU, the estimates and assumptions with the highest degree of subjectivity are the forecasted cashflows, the timing and outcome of contract negotiations and repricing of Medicaid contracts, and the discount rate. Performing audit procedures around these estimates and assumptions required a high degree of judgment and an increased extent of audit effort, including the need to involve fair value and actuarial specialists.

### **How the Critical Audit Matter Was Addressed in the Audit**

Our audit procedures related to the forecasted cashflows, the timing and outcome of contract negotiations and repricing of Medicaid contracts, and the discount rate used to determine the recoverable amount of the identified CGU included the following, among others:

- Evaluated the effectiveness of the internal controls over the key assumptions used by management to determine the recoverable amount for the identified CGU.
- Evaluated the forecasted cashflows by:
  - Assessing the underlying analyses detailing business strategies and growth plans considering the uncertainties associated with structural changes in the U.S. health care system; and
  - Evaluating the expected claims frequency and cost with the assistance of actuarial specialists.
- Evaluated the timing and outcome of contract negotiations and repricing of Medicaid contracts assumption by considering historical outcomes in contract negotiations and status of contracts under negotiation.
- With the assistance of fair value specialists, evaluated the:
  - Reasonableness of the discount rate by testing the source information underlying the determination of the discount rate, and developing a range of independent estimates and comparing those to the discount rate selected by management; and
  - Information obtained through other audit procedures to consider whether it could be potentially contradictory to management’s assessment which included considering market multiples of public company peers.

## **Valuation of Investment Properties – Refer to Notes 1 and 5 to the Financial Statements**

### **Critical Audit Matter Description**

Investment properties are accounted for at fair value. The fair values of investment properties are generally determined using property valuation models and are based on expected capitalization rates and models that discount expected future net cash flows at current market expected rates of return reflective of the characteristics, location, and market of each property. Expected future net cash flows include contractual and projected cash flows and forecasted operating expenses, and take into account discount, rental, and occupancy rates derived from market surveys. The estimates of future cash inflows in addition to expected rental income from current leases, include projected income from future leases based on significant assumptions that are consistent with current market conditions.

The assumptions with the greatest uncertainty are the discount rates, terminal capitalization rates, and future rental rates. Performing audit procedures to assess inputs required an elevated degree of auditor judgment and an increased extent of audit effort, including the need for the integral involvement of valuation specialists.

### **How the Critical Audit Matter Was Addressed in the Audit**

Our audit procedures related to valuation models and assumptions including discount rates, terminal capitalization rates, and future rental rates included the following, among others:

- Evaluated the effectiveness of the internal controls over the fair value process for investment properties. These controls include an assessment and approval by senior management of the discount rates, terminal capitalization rates, and future rental rates assumptions used in the determination of the valuation of investment properties and the valuation conclusions relative to comparable properties.
- With the assistance of valuation specialists, evaluated on a sample basis the reasonableness of management’s discount rates, terminal capitalization rates, and future rental rates assumptions and valuation conclusions by comparing them to the discount rates, terminal capitalization rates, and future rental rates of market surveys and transactions in comparable properties.

/s/ Deloitte LLP

Chartered Professional Accountants  
Licensed Public Accountants  
Toronto, Canada  
February 11, 2026

We have served as the Company’s auditor since 1875.

# Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

To the Shareholders and the Board of Directors of Sun Life Financial Inc.

## Opinion on Internal Control over Financial Reporting

We have audited the internal control over financial reporting of Sun Life Financial Inc. and subsidiaries (the “Company”) as of December 31, 2025, based on criteria established in *Internal Control — Integrated Framework (2013)* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). In our opinion, the Company maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2025, based on criteria established in *Internal Control — Integrated Framework (2013)* issued by COSO.

We have also audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States) (PCAOB), the consolidated financial statements as of and for the year ended December 31, 2025, of the Company and our report dated February 11, 2026, expressed an unqualified opinion on those financial statements.

As described in Management’s Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting, management excluded from its assessment the internal control over financial reporting at Bowtie Life Insurance Company Limited (“Bowtie”), for which control was acquired July 15, 2025. The financial statements of this entity constitute less than 1% of total assets, total equity, insurance revenue and total net income of the consolidated financial statement amounts as of and for the year ended December 31, 2025. Accordingly, our audit did not include the internal control over financial reporting at Bowtie.

## Basis for Opinion

The Company’s management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included in the accompanying Management’s Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Company’s internal control over financial reporting based on our audit. We are a public accounting firm registered with the PCAOB and are required to be independent with respect to the Company in accordance with the U.S. federal securities laws and the applicable rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission and the PCAOB.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the PCAOB. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk, and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

## Definition and Limitations of Internal Control over Financial Reporting

A company’s internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with International Financial Reporting Standards as issued by the International Accounting Standards Board. A company’s internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with International Financial Reporting Standards as issued by the International Accounting Standards Board, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company’s assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

/s/ Deloitte LLP

Chartered Professional Accountants  
Licensed Public Accountants  
Toronto, Canada  
February 11, 2026